

Rare Events, the Thermodynamic Action and the Continuous-Time Limit

P.J. Malsom and F.J. Pinski

Department of Physics, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, USA

We consider diffusion-like paths that are explored by a particle moving via a conservative force while being in thermal equilibrium with its surroundings. To probe rare transitions, we use the Onsager-Machlup (OM) functional as a thermodynamic action to form the path probability distribution function for double-ended paths that are constrained to start and stop at pre-designated points after a fixed time. In the continuous-time limit, the OM functional has been commonly replaced by the Ito-Girsanov change of measure to give the probability of the path created by the physical dynamics relative to that of a free particle. Here we show that this continuous-time limit does not, and cannot, generate a thermodynamic ensemble of paths.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 05.10.Gg, 05.40.Jc

I. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is much the same as the 1953 article¹ of Onsager and Machlup, namely, to look at the probability of a succession of states of a spontaneously fluctuating thermodynamic system. Their expression for this (path) probability has become known as the Onsager-Machlup (OM) functional and has so far withstood the test of time. One commentary even stated that the results are "incapable of improvement either in form or in their mode of derivation."²

Here we follow the spirit of the OM work and explore the continuous-time limit. The OM functional commonly has been used as a thermodynamic action³ for generating paths that are constrained at both ends. One way to proceed is to take the continuous-time limit of the functional; to use Ito calculus and the Girsanov theorem⁴. We have used the continuous-time functional to generate an ensemble of paths and found them to be unphysical. We show this unphysical nature originates in the form of the Ito-Girsanov functional. The Ito-Girsanov change of measure when used as an action is not an indicator of the relative probability of paths, but instead is an indicator of how much the free-Brownian solution differs from the solution for a nonzero force. This last point is illustrated by using an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process⁵.

II. BROWNIAN DYNAMICS

Throughout this paper, we will consider a particle in contact with a heat reservoir at a temperature ϵ . It is moving under the influence of a potential $\mathbb{V}(x)$ with the force being $F(x) = -\mathbb{V}'(x)$. Note that although the equations are written for the one-dimensional case for clarity, the formalism can easily be extended to higher dimensions and for a collection of particles.

The equation of motion for Brownian dynamics is given by the Stochastic Differential Equation (SDE):

$$dx = F(x) dt + \sqrt{2\epsilon} dW_t \quad (1)$$

where dW_t is the standard Wiener process that represents the (uncorrelated) Gaussian noise. Using a discrete

time step, Δt , one typically uses the Euler-Maruyama algorithm⁶ as an approximate method for propagating the position as a function of time. In particular,

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + F(x_i) \Delta t + \sqrt{2\epsilon \Delta t} \xi_i$$

where ξ_i is a Gaussian random variate with mean zero and unit variance. Successive application (N times) of this equation produces a sequence of positions $\{x_i\}$ which is called a path. Onsager and Machlup¹ used the underlying thermal fluctuations to write the Gaussian probability, $\mathbb{P}_p \propto \Pi_i \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\xi_i^2)$, of the path in terms of the path variables themselves, namely,

$$-\ln \mathbb{P}_p = \frac{\Delta t}{2\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_{i+1} - x_i}{\Delta t} - F(x_i) \right)^2. \quad (2)$$

This equation is commonly called the OM functional. In the continuous-time limit, using Ito calculus and the Girsanov theorem, the Radon-Nikodym derivative is used to express the change in the measure⁷:

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_p}{d\mathbb{Q}_p} = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \left(\mathbb{V}(x_T) - \mathbb{V}(x_0) + \int_0^T dt G(x_t) \right) \right) \quad (3)$$

where T is the duration of the path, \mathbb{Q} is the measure associated with free Brownian motion, and the function $G(x)$ is defined as $G(x) = \frac{1}{2} F(x) \cdot F(x) - \epsilon \mathbb{V}''(x)$.

III. SOME RESULTS

One of the uses of the OM functional is to incorporate it into a scheme to sample paths that are constrained at both ends. The aim is to efficiently generate an ensemble of paths that include a transition over an energy barrier. When the barrier is large compared to the typical thermal energy, the transition is a rare event. Such barrier hopping is consistent with thermodynamics, where the noise reflects the fluctuating random effects that are independent of the particle's position. This contrasts to what we designate as extremely rare events where an occurrence would seem to violate thermodynamics, for

example when all the molecules in a room migrate to one corner. Here we only consider the former.

Conventional forward time integration is not particularly efficient, especially for small ϵ , since the hopping rate is small. Instead we incorporate the Ito-Girsanov formula into a Monte Carlo method and sample the measure which, after some initialization, is used to generate constrained paths that reflect the probability distribution.

The quandary is that for a very simple one-dimensional example, the generated paths quickly become unphysical. Long paths, generated with small time steps, are expected to be consistent with equilibrium thermodynamics. The positions along the path should be distributed according to the Boltzmann probability, $\exp(-\mathbb{V}/\epsilon)$. As an example, consider the potential

$$\mathbb{V}(x) = \frac{(8 - 5x)^8 (2 + 5x)^2}{2^{10} (4^8 + x^8)} \quad (4)$$

which has two degenerate wells with a barrier of unity at the origin. A narrow (quadratic) well is on the left and the wide well is on the right. In addition, the corresponding force is globally Lipschitz on \mathbb{R} . At any nonzero temperature, the particle will spend more time on the right due to the entropic considerations inherent in the Boltzmann distribution. We used the Ito-Girsanov formulas with the method developed by Beskos et al⁸, at a temperature $\epsilon = 0.25$, to generate a sequence of these paths. We use the Heaviside function Θ to define $B(s)$ as the fraction of the path that is contained in the broad well, namely,

$$B(s) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \Theta(x_t^{(s)}) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \Theta(x_i^{(s)})$$

where the sampling index is denoted as s , and the corresponding path is $\{x_i^{(s)}\}$. In Figure 1, we plot $B(s)$ using the described procedure (solid curve), showing that the paths quickly become unphysical in that the particle spends the vast majority of the time in the left, thin well. Such paths are inconsistent with the equilibrium thermodynamical distribution. The remainder of this article is to explain the origins of these unphysical results and to describe which functionals can be used to sample physical paths with double-ended boundary conditions.

IV. THE MEANING OF THE CHANGE OF MEASURE

Now we examine the relative probability of paths. As in any continuous distribution, while the probability of any one path is zero, the relative probability of two paths is well-defined. In the case of free Brownian motion, for sufficiently long paths of the same duration, the relative probability of any two paths is unity. But this is also true when considering Brownian dynamics with any force. In all cases, the path probability is due to the Gaussian




FIG. 1. Displayed is the fraction of the path in the broad well resulting from sampling the Ito-Girsanov functional (solid curve) as a function of an arbitrary sampling index, s . The input path has a value of $B(0) \approx 0.6$, has a path length of $T = N \Delta t = 150$, and a time step along the path of $\Delta t = 0.005$. The paths evolve to an unphysical state, with a very small fraction in the broad well, differing substantially from the equilibrium value (dashed line).

noise, $\mathbb{P}_p \propto \Pi_i \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\xi_i^2)$, where the set $\{\xi_i\}$ is independent of the force and thus independent of the position of the particle. The same argument applies to any finite representation of the path, and thus holds as the size of the time increment becomes infinitesimally small.

To illustrate the above point, consider the following thought experiment. For a conservative force that is globally Lipschitz (such as in the example used above), consider sampling the Boltzmann distribution using the Brownian dynamics as expressed by Equation 1. Take the starting point, x_0 , to be arbitrary and integrate the SDE over a fixed time, T , that is long compared to any barrier hopping time. Using a non-zero but small time step, Δt , one uses $N_r = T/\Delta t$ Gaussian random variates. Keeping the same set of random numbers, but simply scrambling the order, redo the integration. This provides $N_r!$ paths each with identical probabilities. For large enough T and small enough Δt , the set of endpoints, $x(T)$ should be distributed in a manner that is close to Boltzmann. Here it is important to recognize that it is not the path probability that creates the distribution, as all paths are identically probable. Rather it is the path density that drives the correct distribution of the endpoints. Similar results are expected when one generates independent sets of random numbers instead of using the permutations of the original set.

The noise is a consequence of the random fluctuations of the thermal reservoir, and for the SDE given in Equation 1, the noise is not correlated with the position of the particle. These considerations point to the inappropriateness of using the Ito-Girsanov change of measure as the path probability functional. By inspecting Equation 3, we see that it gives different probabilities for different paths: some paths are more probable than others. This is accomplished by correlating the noise with the positions through the function G . There is clearly a flaw in using

the Ito-Girsanov change of measure in this way. And in particular, this is the immediate origin of the numerical results displayed above.

The question is how do we understand our results in light of the above information? To accomplish this, we look at the ramifications for an OU process⁵ with the force being $F_{OU} = -\gamma x$. The Ito-Girsanov change of measure indicates that the probability distributions of two diffusions that differ only in their drift term are mutually absolutely continuous. Exact solutions are known for both the free Brownian motion and the OU process. For the OU process, the frequency (ν) spectrum is finite at the origin with a $1/\nu$ tail. The frequency spectrum of the free particle motion falls off as $1/\nu$ after diverging at the origin. For a long enough path length, every realization of the Brownian Bridge has this same spectrum. Since linear combinations of such realizations are still (free) Brownian Bridges, they too have the same spectrum. Thus each Brownian Bridge and every linear combination of Brownian Bridges have a frequency spectrum that differs from that of an OU process; they are not solutions to the OU SDE. This holds true for other forces; in general, free Brownian Bridges are not solutions to the SDE (Equation 1) with nonzero drift. We conclude that the Ito-Girsanov change of measure is an indicator of the differences between the solutions of two SDEs that differ only in their drift term and it is not related to the probability of paths of one or the other diffusions.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The Onsager-Machlup functional is based on Brownian dynamics and gives a way of understanding the double ended path sampling problem³. It had been accepted that in the continuous-time limit, the Onsager-Machlup functional could be replaced by the Ito-Girsanov change of measure (Equation 3) as a way of handling the infinities inherent in such a limit. We have shown here in three ways that this is inappropriate. First, direct sampling gives unphysical results. Second, interpreting the Ito-Girsanov change of measure as a probability distribution favors some paths over others even though paths of the same duration must have the same probability. Third, any linear combination of free Brownian paths cannot be a solution to the OU process nor to diffusions

with more complicated forces. We determined that the Ito-Girsanov change of measure is simply the indicator of how inaccurately the free Brownian paths represent solutions to the diffusion process with a nonzero drift. Thus using the Ito-Girsanov change of measure as a probability distribution is not thermodynamically correct.

This finding affects a wide body of work. The theory for entropy production in nonequilibrium thermodynamics is just one of them. As an example see the work of Speck et al⁹. For probing the folding of proteins, the recent work of Fujisaki, et al¹⁰ suffered from using the unphysical form of the Onsager-Machlup functional. Other works^{11,12} have to be reevaluated in light of this insight.

The form of the OM functional has been explored before¹³⁻¹⁵. In this paper, we have added significant insight into the long outstanding issue of the form of the OM measure in the continuous-time limit.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to especially thank Robin Ball, Andrew Stuart, Hendrik Weber, Gideon Simpson, and Florian Theil for many lengthy conversations.

- ¹L. Onsager and S. Machlup, Phys. Rev. **91**, 1505 (1953).
- ²H. P. McKean, in *The Collected Works of Lars Onsager: With Commentary, Lars Onsager and P.C. Hemmer* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998) pp. 769-771.
- ³R. Graham, Zeitschrift fur Physik B Condensed Matter **26**, 281 (1977).
- ⁴D. Dürr and A. Bach, Comm. Math. Phys. **60**, 153 (1978).
- ⁵G. E. Uhlenbeck and L. S. Ornstein, Phys. Rev. **36**, 823 (1930).
- ⁶G. Maruyama, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo **4**, 48 (1955).
- ⁷B. Øksendal, *Stochastic Differential Equations*, Universitext (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003).
- ⁸A. Beskos, F. Pinski, J. Sanz-Serna, and A. Stuart, Stochastic Processes and their Applications **121**, 2201 (2011).
- ⁹T. Speck, A. Engel, and U. Seifert, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment **2012**, P12001 (2012).
- ¹⁰H. Fujisaki, M. Shiga, and A. Kidera, The Journal of Chemical Physics **132**, 134101 (2010).
- ¹¹P. Faccioli, M. Sega, F. Pederiva, and H. Orland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 108101 (2006).
- ¹²W. E, W. Ren, and E. Vanden-Eijnden, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **57**, 637 (2004).
- ¹³A. B. Adib, The Journal of Physical Chemistry B **112**, 5910 (2008).
- ¹⁴D. M. Zuckerman and T. B. Woolf, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 016702 (2000).
- ¹⁵K. L. C. Hunt and J. Ross, The Journal of Chemical Physics **75**, 976 (1981).